Detection of adulterations in fermented and heat-treated Turkish type sausages by histological examination*
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Summary: In this study, Turkish type sausages were prepared by fermentation and heat treatment after adding prohibited tissues and organs, listed in the Communique of Meat and Meat Products of the Turkish Food Codex. The aim was to determine if these tissues and organs, when added by 10% as adulterants to different types of Turkish type sausages produced with different methods, could be detected by histological examination and also to examine the effects of food processing executed during production on these adulterants. Although no statistically significant differences were found between fermentation and heat treatment methods, our results showed a strong correlation between identification of adulteration and the histological examination. The chemical, physical and microbiological examinations are executed for the purpose of controlling whether the fermented and heat-treated Turkish type sausages were conformed with the standards and regulations and also determining quality levels of Turkish type sausages. In addition, the histological examination in order to detect the tissues and organs which are used as additives for the purpose of adulteration has been concluded as an useful method which will help consumers for much better quality products.
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Introduction

For healthy and balanced nutrition, experts report that 40-45% of an individual’s daily protein requirements should be met from animal proteins (17, 39). Animal proteins are rich in essential amino acids. Compared to vegetable proteins, they have a higher biological value (9, 15, 27). However, because of their high water content and conducive pH, meat is a suitable environment for the growth of many different groups of microorganisms (29, 37). In order to increase the durability of meat and to add different flavors to it, various products are manufactured. The most common methods used for this purpose are cooling, freezing, salting, drying, smoking, cooking, fermentation, heat-treatment applications, irradiation and adding chemical substances to the meat (2, 11, 31). In Turkey, Turkish type sausage is the most important of these processed foods (3). Turkish type sausages are sausages of roughly comminuted meat, mixed with fat and other ingredients, stuffed into sheep or cattle casings and exposed to smoking and/or drying, also include sun-drying sometimes (35). Northern and Southern European style fermented sausages has typical properties just as the Turkish type sausage (18). In South America and some European countries, fermented sausages are produced without a starter culture and fermentation is carried out without any ingredients (14). Additionally, this sausage which is called as Turkish type sausage, is similar to fermented sausages such as saucisson, chorizo, salami, summer sausage, pepperoni, teewurst and mettwurst widely consumed in many other countries (32). According
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to the 2012/28488 numbered Turkish food codex, “Meat and Meat Products Communiqué of the Ministry of Food Agriculture and Livestock,” Turkish type sausages are divided into two groups: fermented and heat-treated (4).

Today, due to factors such as advancing technology, urbanization, entrance of women into the workforce, busy working schedules, travel and living alone, people’s eating habits have changed (36). The traditional fermented Turkish type sausage has almost disappeared and has been replaced by the heat-processed Turkish type sausage, which has a short production time and, hence, generates a higher level of profit (22, 33).

Adulteration is the name given to the procedure of deliberately decreasing the quality of a product expose to substituting an expensive raw material required in large amount by a low quality raw material of no or little use. To prevent adulteration, legal regulations were made and put into effect in England in the 1860s. Such legal regulations were put into effect in Turkey in 1936 (38).

Because of its preparation procedures, Turkish type sausage is very open to adulteration (21). In Turkey, there are many studies on the quality of commercially available Turkish type sausages. Their results indicate that, generally, Turkish type sausages do not conform to the standards and regulations from chemical, physical and microbiological aspects (10, 16, 20, 30, 36). Moreover, although using offals, bone and cartilage was banned in the production of meat products according to the Turkish Food Codex Meat and Meat Products Communiqué (4), there are many studies reported that the legal regulations were violated (7, 26, 34, 42, 43). There are also studies reporting the use of types of meat belonging to animal species that are prohibited by the same communiqué for the adulteration of meat products (1, 23, 24, 28, 41).

Histological examination method was firstly used by a researcher named Jaeger in meat and meat products in 1910 (26). Kaymaz et al. (26) and Inal (25) reported that such researchers as Braunert, Escher, Frickinger, Lundt, Schröder, Glamser, Breusch, Zumpe and Schönberg made important contributions to the development of this method. Several studies suggested the possibility of using histological examination to identify prohibited tissues and organs that have been added as adulterants to the Turkish type sausage dough (5, 19, 40).

In this study, the aims were: 1) to determine if tissues and organs that were added to the types of Turkish type sausages produced by different methods could be identified through the histological examination method; 2) to determine the effects of the Turkish type sausage production processes on the added tissues; and 3) to measure the detection rates of tissue and organ additives in histological sections. In light of the results obtained from this study, it was concluded that it will be possible to prevent the consumption of low quality meat products and unfair competition between producers through the determination of adulteration by histological examination.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in the laboratory of the Histology and Embryology Division of the Veterinary Faculty of Uludag University. The experimentally prepared Turkish type sausage samples, were used as material the methods of fermentation and heat treatment were applied in the Meat Products Unit of the Veterinary Faculty of Uludag University. The meat used in the experimentally prepared Turkish type sausage samples was taken from the stocks of bovine meat, put up for sale at the Meat Products Sales Unit of the Veterinary Faculty of Uludag University, and the organs to be used for adulteration purposes were obtained from a private slaughterhouse. Head meat, lung, tongue, liver, trachea, runen, spleen, intestine, mammary gland, brain, heart, testis, kidney and esophagus were added to the experimental Turkish type sausages dough. First, meat and adipose tissue having completed maturation were hashed (cut in small pieces). The organs taken were processed separately using a chopper (King K715P - 300 Watt) and put into containers of 100 grams. While preparing Turkish type sausage dough samples, the mixing ratios given in Table 1 were applied. None of these tissues or organs was added to the control groups. Following the stuffing procedure, Turkish type sausage samples were separated into two groups: those to be heat processed and those to be fermented.

The Turkish type sausages to be fermented were kept in fermentation for a period of 8 days under the conditions of an air flow of 0.5-1 m/second, a relative humidity of 75-90% and a temperature of 18-22°C. The Turkish type sausages to be heat processed were subjected to heating in a baking oven with a central temperature of 68°C (approximately 30 minutes). At the end of these procedures, the Turkish type sausages were vacuum-packed and stored at 4°C. For histological evaluations, samples of 1.00-1.50 grams were taken from five different places on each of the experimentally prepared, fermented or heat-processed Turkish type sausages and processed into paraffin blocks through standard methods. Sections of 5-6 µm thickness were taken from the blocks using a microtome (Leica RM 2135). The sections were stained using Crossmon’s triple stain technique (12). The samples were photographed by using a Nikon Eclipse 80i light microscope.

Evaluation: The whole area of each of the stained sections, which were taken from five different places on the same sample, was examined for the detection of any added organs. The sections positive for added organs were labeled “+” and, in the undetected ones, additional sections were taken from the deep portion of the paraffin
block, stained, and the whole area of the section was examined. The positive sections were designated with a “+”, and in cases when no tissues or organs were detected in these sections, new paraffin blocks were prepared from five different places of the same sample, and the same examination and scoring procedure was repeated. If no tissues and organs were detected again following this procedure, they were assigned “–” scores and accepted as negative results (no detection) and statistically evaluated as 0s.

**Statistical analysis:** The statistical analysis of the study were made by using the SPSS 23.0 program package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The descriptive statistics of the data obtained in the study were given in terms of frequencies and percentages of adulteration occurrence. To determine if there were any differences between the heat-processing and fermentation methods in terms of detection of each of the organs, Fisher’s exact chi-square test and Yates’s chi-square test were used; moreover, if there were any differences between all the organs in terms of being detected in the heat-process and fermentation methods, these differences were evaluated by using Pearson’s chi-square test. The graphs included in the study were drawn using Microsoft Excel 2013. In all the statistical analyses made in the study, p values under 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

The samples prepared from the Turkish type sausages to which tissues or organs had been added in accordance with the previously described methods were examined histologically. While skeletal muscle, with its typical striated appearance, was easily detected in the sections prepared from the fermented Turkish type sausage samples, skeletal muscle could not be detected in the sections prepared from the heat-processed Turkish type sausage samples, as evidenced by the absence of any striated tissue (Figures 1A and B). It was determined that the fat cells composing the adipose tissue preserved their structural properties in the fermented Turkish type sausage samples, but adipose cells were shrunk and lost their structural properties in the heat-processed Turkish type sausage samples (Figures 2A and B). It was found that ligament fibers, which function as connective tissue, lost their structural properties in both methods, yet they could still be detected and in this regard, there was not a significant difference between the two methods of preparation. In the Turkish type sausages prepared via both methods, the added tissues and organs could be detected. Head meat pieces were observed, with typical images belonging to head glands located between the skeletal muscles. Although the lung tissue had been disrupted, alveolar structures were detected. It was observed that, although the hepatocytes belonging to the parenchyma of the liver were very scattered, the capsules and bile ducts were easily detected in the images. Tongue was found through the detection of skeletal muscles characteristic of the tongue and extending in different directions in groups. Although tracheal epithelia and connective tissue layers were not observed as intact structures but hyaline cartilage pieces were observed clearly. It was determined that the ruminal papillae were preserved as a whole and could be detected (Figures 3A and B). The spleen parenchyma could be detected histologically only around the spleen capsule and trabeculae. It was observed that, although the epithelium of the intestine had disappeared significantly, the tunica muscularis was preserved completely. In all the sections put into analysis, no image belonging to brain tissue was detected. It was observed that although mammary tissue

---

Table 1. The formulations of fermented and heat-treatment Turkish type sausage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient (%)</th>
<th>Ef1-Eh1</th>
<th>Ef2-Eh2</th>
<th>Ef3-Eh3</th>
<th>Ef4-Eh4</th>
<th>Ef5-Eh5</th>
<th>Ef6-Eh6</th>
<th>Ef7-Eh7</th>
<th>Ef8-Eh8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minced meat (%)</td>
<td>940 g (94)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
<td>740 g (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue 1 (%)</td>
<td>100 g Head meat (10)</td>
<td>100 g Tongue (10)</td>
<td>100 g Trachea (10)</td>
<td>100 g Spleen (10)</td>
<td>100 g Mammary gland (10)</td>
<td>100 g Heart (10)</td>
<td>100 g Kidney (10)</td>
<td>100 g Kidney (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue 2 (%)</td>
<td>100 g Lung (10)</td>
<td>100 g Liver (10)</td>
<td>100 g Rumen (10)</td>
<td>100 g Intestine (10)</td>
<td>100 g Brain (10)</td>
<td>100 g Testis (10)</td>
<td>100 g Esophagus (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head meat (10)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td>60 g (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Salt (%1.7), Garlic powder (%1), Granulated sugar (%0.5), Cumin (%1), Black pepper (%0.5), Chili pepper (%0.3), Red pepper (%0.5) and Pimento (%0.5).

Ef: Experimental fermented Turkish type sausage, Eh: Experimental heat-treatment Turkish type sausage.

* Tuz (%1.7), Sarımsak tozu (%1), Toz şeker (%0.5), Kimyon (%1), Karabiber (%0.5), Acı kırmızı biber (%0.3), Tatlı kırmızı biber (%0.5) ve Yeni bahar (%0.5).
alveoli were clearly detected in the Turkish type sausage samples prepared via both methods, the structure was preserved better in the heat-processed samples (Figures 4A and B). The heart muscle tissue was typically detected due to its striations and its collateral connections and intercalated disks. The parenchyma of testis tissue was especially undetectable and in only one of the samples prepared by fermentation, the tunica albuginea, epididymal ducts, and spermatozoa inside these ducts were managed to detect. Although the structures of the cortex of the kidney, of the corpuscula renis and of the proximal and distal tubules were not detected intact, it was determined that the structures were preserved better in the heat-treated Turkish type sausage samples (Figures 5A and B). The stratified squamous epithelium forming the lamina epithelia of the esophagus was observed clearly with its other layers.
Figure 4. Mammary tissue in experimental fermented (A) and heat-treated Turkish type sausage (B). Triple staining x4.

Şekil 4. Fermente (A) ve ısıl işlem görmüş (B) sucukta meme dokusu. Üçlü boyama x4.

Figure 5. Kidney tissue in experimental fermented (A) and heat-treated Turkish type sausage (B). Triple staining x10.

Şekil 5. Fermente (A) ve ısıl işlem görmüş (B) sucukta böbrek dokusu. Üçlü boyama x10.

Figure 6. Ratio of organs detection for fermentation method.

Şekil 6. Fermente yönteminde, organların tespit edilme oranları.
Head meat (Baş bölgesi etleri), Rumen (Rumen), Mammary gland (Meme), Heart (Kalp), Kidney (Böbrek), Lung (Akciğer), Tongue (Dil), Trachea (Soluk borusu), Spleen (Dalak), Esophagus (Yemek borusu), Liver (Karaciğer), Intestine (Bağırsak), Testis (Testis), Brain (Beyin).

Figure 7. Ratio of organs detection for heat-treatment method.
Şekil 7. Isıl işlem yönteminde organların tespit edilme oranları.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head meat</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongue</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachea</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumen</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammary gland</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>Heat-treated</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+: The ration of detection, -: The ration of undetection, p: Significance level.
+: Tespit edilme oranı, -: Tespit edilmeme oranı, p: Anlamlılık düzeyi.
According to the statistical results obtained from the analyzed samples, there was not a statistically significant difference between the heat-processing and fermentation methods used in the preparation of the Turkish type sausages in terms of detection of each organ (p>0.05) (Table 2).

In the fermentation method, a statistically significant difference was observed in terms of the detection of all the organs (p<0.001) and the graph is shown in Figure 6.

In the heat-processing method, a statistically significant difference was observed in terms of the detection of all the organs (p<0.001) and the graph is depicted in Figure 7.

Head meat and rumen were the tissues or organs that were most frequently detected in both the fermented and heat-processed Turkish type sausages, and testis and brain were the least frequently detected.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

In the current literature, there are many physical, chemical and microbiological studies of the quality of meat products in Turkey, but studies including histological examinations were very limited. Atasever et al. (5) examined sections prepared with gelatine and paraffin embedding methods in fermented Turkish type sausage samples which they had prepared by adding different tissues and organs at the ratios of 2%, 4% and 6%, and reported that the structural and staining characteristics of the tissues and organs were preserved better in samples prepared with paraffin embedding method. In our study, it was observed that the structural differences of the tissues and organs could be detected in the heat-treated and fermented Turkish type sausage samples through embedding in paraffin. Atasever et al. (5) found that, in fermented Turkish type sausages prepared by adding organs in accordance with the described methods, cutaneous mucosa epithelium, collagen and elastic fibers, skeletal and heart muscle, blood vessels, cartilage tissue and peripheral nerves were well-preserved, but liver, lung, intestine and testis tissue were extremely damaged. The findings of the study revealed that skeletal muscles, adipose tissue, connective tissue, secretory units of major salivary glands in the head area, pieces of tracheal cartilage, papilla ruminis, secretory units of the mammary gland, structures located in the cortical region in kidney and the stratified squamous epithelium of the esophagus were all well preserved but intestine, liver, lung, spleen, testis and brain tissue was disrupted and could be detected only in limited areas in the fermented Turkish type sausage samples. Dalımis and Soyer (13) examined the changes occurring in the sarcoplasmic and myofibril proteins in Turkish type sausages during maturation and storage. For this purpose, they used the Western blot method and found that the proteins were denatured and their solubility decreased in all of the heat-treated groups. In our study, in the Turkish type sausages prepared with the method of fermentation, striation was preserved in the skeletal muscles, but in the Turkish type sausages prepared with the method of heat treatment, striation was disappeared. Although our methods were different from those of Dalımis and Soyer (13), our results similarly indicated that the structures of the proteins were distorted. Ayaz et al. (6) reported that, in the experimentally added minced organ mixtures, the skeletal muscles, transitional squamous epithelium of renal pelvis and chicken skin preserved their structures. Also similar to our findings, although the structural integrity of the liver and spleen was distorted, they observed bile ducts of the liver and the capsule and trabecular structures of the spleen. In contrast with our findings, Ayaz et al. (6) found that the alveolar structures in the lung could not be detected but that structures belonging to the bronchia could be detected. We are of the opinion that this difference resulted from the fact that sections were taken randomly from the organ used in the mixture; that is why, this difference reflects variation due to random sampling. İnal (25) reported that, in the samples prepared from salami and sausages, papillae ruminis, smooth muscle cells of the intestinal mucosa; stratified squamous epithelium of esophagus and heart muscle tissue were clearly detected. In our study, in the Turkish type sausages prepared with both methods, similar structures were detected. Erdogrul (19) reported detecting especially epithelium, cartilage, bone and nerve tissue and hair and hair roots, in Soudjouk (Turkish type sausages) and sausages sold in Kahramanmaraş. Ayaz et al. (7) reported cartilage, bones and the digestive system in 66% of the 842 salami, sausages, fermented and heat-treated Turkish type sausage samples analyzed at the Etilik Veterinary Control and Central Research Institute. Baskaya et al. (8) found cartilage tissue and offals in 22.2% of the prepared mince samples sold in Istanbul; Yıldız et al. (42) found offals in approximately 8% of the meatball samples consumed in Istanbul. That bone and cartilage tissues were observed at these frequencies in these samples put on the market lead us to the conclusion that stripped meat or mechanically stripped meat was used in its production. In our study, with the exception of the Turkish type sausage samples prepared by adding trachea, cartilage tissue and bone tissue were not detected. Atasever et al. (5) observed that the amounts of some tissues and organ types in the fermented Turkish type sausage content were not proportional to the number of tissue types encountered in the microscopic examination. They reported that it might have resulted from the partial distortion of cell structures occurring during the fermentation or a lack of homogeneous mixing during the production. In our analysis, in the Turkish type sausages prepared with
different methods, no statistically significant difference was found in terms of the detection of each organ, but a statistically significant difference was found in terms of detection of different tissues and organs added at equal ratios. This finding is similar to that obtained by Atasever et al. (5).

In conclusion, all the tissues and organs were detected in the Turkish type sausages except for brain in the fermented ones and testis, brain tissues in the heat-treated ones. The fact that no statistically significant differences were observed in the Turkish type sausages prepared from the same Turkish type sausage dough with two different methods, in terms of detection of each organ, indicates that the methods do not directly affect the detection of tissues and organs. We are of the opinion that the tissues and organs added at equal abundances were observed at significantly different frequencies because they showed differing degrees of resistance and homogenization to the fragmentation and mixing procedures applied due to the differences in the structural characteristics of the tissues. Furthermore, a strong correlation was observed between the identification of adulteration and the method that we used. The chemical, physical and microbiological examinations are executed for the purposes of controlling whether the fermented and heat-treated Turkish type sausages, which are quite desirable and expensive in the markets, were conformed with the standards and regulations and also determining quality levels of Turkish type sausages. In addition to these, the histological examination in order to detect the tissues and organs which are used as additives for the purpose of adulteration, has been concluded as an useful method which will help consumers to consume much better quality products.
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